Criteria for Scoring Past Research Accomplishments

TC Jenkins Department of Biophysics, Johns Hopkins University Fall 2023

Scientific field and research questions within the field: Why is the scientific area/field studied important? Are the specific questions candidate set out to address fundamental to the field or incremental? Are the questions relevant to the larger scientific community?

	3 (Exceptional)	2 (Solid)	1 (Weak)	0
Field efiniti	<u> </u>	Field definition and its importance is at times vague.	Vague	Not addressed
Research Questions	Clearly articulates the question(s) they sought to answer and explains their fundamental importance to the field		anactions studied	■ Not addressed

Candidate's contributions: How did the candidate contribute to the project (main driver, collaborator)? What challenges did the candidate face during the project? How did the candidate overcome these challenges?

	3 (Exceptional)	2 (Solid)	1 (Weak)	0
—		Significant intellectual or	lovporimontal	Not addressed
ler l			Project not very challenging	Not addressed

Scientific advancements: What were the major findings resulting from the candidates work? How did these findings help advance the field? How did these findings impact related fields or the broader scientific community? What new questions arise from the candidate's work?

	.3 (Exceptional).	.2 (Solid).	1 (Weak)	0
ind	Clearly articulates research findings and their significance.		Findings are vague and/ or not clearly related research questions	Not described

This document was adapted from search criteria used by Yale MB&B Fall 2020.